Dear Jim, the suit to which you referred yesterday is Nader's, for access to the Nixon v.p. papers. Today's Post, vis Tax Reform Research. If I can judge from the story, which doeshave several inaccuracies, they have not taken the necessary political approach, I aupppse because they lack the understanding or don't have the interest of shun the method I'm not about to suggest what I think they should do and I do think they can't win without getting the 1955 act declared unConstitutuonal. MacKenzie is, I believe, wrong in saying that Johnson adm lawyers invoked the law to obtain autopsy documents. Reverse. And less than correct in what I take to breference to my suit, 2569-70, saying that the restrictions were challenged unsuccessfully. One respect only: copies to keep. I got access. In the future, when my writing reaches the point, I may well ask for access to specified parts. HW 12/29/73